

Maseno University Staff Performance Appraisal Form

(For Administrative Staff in Grade 2-4)

PREAMBLE

- 1. The Performance Appraisal System (PAS) form is a component of Performance Management System in the Public Service institutions. It integrates work planning, target setting, performance reporting and feedback for purposes of decision making.
- The overall objective of the performance Management as stated in the Universities Human Resource Policy and Procedure Manual is to manage the performance of an individual through regular feedback for self-development and improvement.
- 3. This appraisal form will be completed by Officers in Grades 2-4 of the University. The form is to be filled in triplicate and distributed as follows after the evaluation process:-
 - Original to the DVC AFD;
 - Duplicate to the Appraisee's personal file in the department; and
 - Triplicate to be retained by the Appraisee.

STEPS FOR COMPLETING PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM

Section 1	The Section will be completed by the Appraisee.		
Section 2(a):	The Section is to be completed by the Appraisee in consultation with the Supervisor at the beginning of the appraisal period.		
Section 2(b):	 (i) The column on agreed performance targets will be Completed by the Appraisee in consultation with the Supervisor. (ii) The column on performance indicators shall be completed by the Appraisee in consultation with the Supervisor at the beginning of the appraisal period. (iii) The column on results achieved shall be completed by the supervisor at the end of the appraisal period using the rating scale provided on the column before. Performance of each target will be scored and the total recorded. 		
Section 3:	The section shall be completed by the supervisor at the end of the appraisal period.		
Section 4:	The section is to be completed by the Appraisee at the end of the appraisal period.		
Section 5:	The section will be completed by the Head of the Section (where applicable) at the end of the performance appraisal period.		
Section 6:	The section will be completed by the Supervisor and the recommendation submitted to the Human Resource. Approval shall be granted by the Deputy Vice–Chancellor, Administration, Finance and Development.		

STAFF PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL REPORT

SECTION 1: Personal Particulars					
	(i)	Name(Surname		Others)	
	(ii)	Department			
	(iii)	Current Designation	Terms of Ser	vice	
	(iv)	Supervisor's Name	Designati	on	
SECTION 2: Departmental Functions					

Period under Review; from......To.......

List the employees Priority functions from which Performance Targets will be derived.

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

SECTION 2(b): Performance Targets

Key Performance	Performance	To be completed by the Supervisor at	
Targets (to be	Indicators/proof of	the end of the Appraisal period	
completed by	performance(to be		
appraisee as agreed with supervisor at the beginning of the appraisal period)	completed by appraisee in consultation with supervisor at the beginning of the appraisal period)	Score on scales	Results achieved
(ii)		-1-2-3-4-5-	
(iii)		-1-2-3-4-5-	
(iv)		-1-2-3-4-5-	
(v)		-1-2-3-4-5-	
(vi)		-1-2-3-4-5-	
Total Score on		Total Score on	
performance targets		performance	
		targets(A)	

OVERALL ASSESSMENT				
OVERALL TOTAL (A) APPRAISAL SCORE FOR THE PREVI	OUS YEAR (%	= 5) =		
Rating Scale The following are rating should be used	I to indicate the	e level of performance by an		
Appraise.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Description	Rating	Score		
Excellent, target exceeded.	5	80% above		
Very Good, target fully met.	4	70-79%		
Good, target almost met	3	50-69%		
Below Expectation, target partially met	2	40-49%		
Unacceptable, target not met	1	Below 39%		
 4-Very good, target fully met - frequently demonstrated the target/ competency and performance is at par with target. Three (3) or more examples can be evidenced to support this rating. 3- Good, target almost met - Has demonstrated this target/competency however, performance was close to the target. At least two (2) examples can be evidenced to support this rating. 2- Below expectation, target partially met - Has rarely demonstrated the target / competency with targets partially achieved. Two (2) or more examples can be evidenced to support this rating. 1- Unacceptable, target not met - Has not demonstrated this target/competency at all, therefore performance targets not met. Three (3) or more examples can be evidenced to support this rating. Supervisor's comments on performance 				

Appraisee's comments on performance

SECTION 3: Staff Training and Development Plan (where applicable)

Appraisee's training and development identified by the appraisee and the sup			-	=
Appraiser's Signature:		Date	9	
Immediate Supervisors name				
Signature	Date			
SECTION 4: Appraisee`s comment of appropriate) (To be completed at the			-	as
(i) Appraisee's comments on perfo				
(ii) Did performance related discustreporting period?	sions with su	upervisor t Yes	ake place d	luring the No
(iii) Did the discussion help you?		Yes		No
(iv) General comment (<i>if any</i>) on y	/our overall μ	performan	ce	
Appraisee`s signature			Date	
SECTION 5: Comments by the Head	l of Sections	S		
Comments on the constituency and the Supervisor and any significant				•

Hea	d of Section Name		
Designation		signature	Date
Section	6: Recommended reward or sa	nction	
a) b)	A reward type (i.e. Commendation recommended Sanction for poor letter,	•	formance(warning
c)	 Other recommended interventions (counseling, training and development others specify) 		
Brief Co	omments by the Directorate of F	luman Resource	
Authori	zed officer (DIRECTORATE OF	HUMAN RESOUR	 RCE)
Signed		Date	
Approve	ed /Not Approved by DEPUTY VIC	E CHANCELLOR	(ADMINISTRATION

MSU/F/R/ADM/HR/007/D